Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, 701 Tainan City, Taiwan, and <sup>b</sup>Instrument Center, National Tsing Hua University, 300 Hsinchu, Taiwan

Correspondence e-mail: kbshiu@mail.ncku.edu.tw

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.049 wR factor = 0.069 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Kom-Bei Shiu,<sup>a</sup>\* Fen-Ling Liao<sup>b</sup> and Sue-Lein Wang<sup>b</sup>

syn-Tetracarbonyldi-µ-phenylthiolatobis[(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(I)]

The title syn isomer of  $[Ru_2(\mu-SPh)_2(PPh_3)_2(CO)_4]$  or  $[Ru_2(\mu-SPh)_2(PPh_3)_2(CO)_4]$  $C_6H_5S_2(C_{18}H_{15}P_2(CO)_4]$ , which was previously expected to be present in solution, is now confirmed by the determination of its crystal structure. The compound contains two sixcoordinated Ru<sup>I</sup> atoms, joined by an Ru–Ru single bond with a length of 2.6809 (6) Å. A mirror plane passes through the mid-point of the Ru-Ru bond and the two bridging thiolate ligands.

# Comment

In recent years, extensive research has been carried out on transition-metal complexes with sulfur ligands. These complexes often display unusual structures and novel reactivity (Stiefel, 1996), and show some relevance to metal sulfide hydrodesulfurization and demercuration catalysts (Angelici, 1988; Wiegand & Friend, 1992). Based on NMR evidence reported previously (Shiu et al., 1998), both syn, (I), and anti, (II), isomers of  $[Ru_2(\mu-SPh)_2(PPh_3)_2(CO)_4]$  are believed to be present in an equilibrium mixture in solution, but with one crystal structure determined for the anti form as the major component. In order to further confirm the presence of the syn isomer and compare the structure details of the two isomers, single crystals of the minor syn isomer were prepared and its crystal structure characterized by X-ray crystallography.

The single crystals of (I) are orthorhombic, while those of (II) are triclinic. The structure of (I) is composed of discrete monomers with no short intermolecular interactions. A view of the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1. This structure contains a crystallographically imposed mirror plane containing the mid-point of the Ru-Ru bond, two S atoms, and eight C atoms, viz. C3 and C6-C12. A projection view of (I) along the  $Ru1 \rightarrow Ru1'$  vector (Fig. 2) confirms that it is the syn rather than the anti form (Shiu et al., 1998). The Ru-Ru, Ru-P, Ru-S and Ru-CO bond lengths, and all other bond lengths (Table 1), are similar to those observed in the anti isomer (II). However, the non-bonded distances, such as  $P \cdots P$ and  $S \cdots P$ , are quite different in the two isomers  $[P \cdots P] =$ 

Received 28 October 2003 Accepted 21 November 2003 Online 29 November 2003

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved





## Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. The prime in atom names corresponds to symmetry code (i) in Table 1.

6.782 Å in (I) versus 7.110 Å in (II) and  $S \cdot \cdot \cdot S = 2.863$  Å in (I) versus 3.101 Å in (II)]. Likewise, most angles are different in the two structures. These different features probably reflect the different influences caused by the different second-sphere face-to-face  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interactions (Shiu *et al.*, 2002). Although each thiolate phenyl group interacts in this way with its own specific partner phenyl of one PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand in (II) (Shiu et al., 1998), only one thiolate phenyl group, C7-C12, interacts similarly with two PPh<sub>3</sub> phenyl groups, C13-C18 and C13'-C18' in (I) (Fig. 1). This thiolate phenyl group is sandwiched between two almost parallel phenyl planes of PPh<sub>3</sub> with close contacts of 3.45-4.03 Å.

# **Experimental**

Both (I) and (II) were obtained as a mixture by following the published procedure (Shiu et al., 1998). Single crystals of both isomers were grown from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane (1:4) at ambient temperature. Two types of crystals, irregular chunks and cylinders, were found with a microscope. The former type are the anti isomer, whereas the latter are the expected syn isomer.

## Crystal data

$[Ru_2(C_6H_5S)_2(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2(CO)_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1057.04$	Cell parameters from 3928
Orthorhombic, Pnma	reflections
a = 17.2502 (9)  Å	$\theta = 2.5 - 25^{\circ}$
b = 24.4253 (13) Å	$\mu = 0.83 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.3154 (6) Å	T = 294 (2) K
$V = 4767.6 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	Column, yellow
Z = 4	$0.30 \times 0.11 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.473 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	
Siemens SMART CCD area-	5947 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	3016 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.106$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1995)	$h = -22 \rightarrow 22$
$T_{\min} = 0.818, T_{\max} = 0.922$	$k = -18 \rightarrow 32$
29 562 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 14$



### Figure 2

A projection of (I) along the Ru1 $\rightarrow$  Ru1' vector. The two PPh<sub>3</sub> groups have been omitted for clarity.

Refinement

tom parameters not refined
$1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0208P)^2]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
$_{\rm max} = 0.81 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$_{\rm min} = -0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ru1-C2	1.858 (4)	Ru1-Ru1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6809 (6)
Ru1-C1	1.866 (4)	S1-C3	1.782 (5)
Ru1-P1	2.3713 (10)	S2-C7	1.788 (5)
Ru1-S2	2.4294 (11)	O1-C1	1.145 (4)
Ru1-S1	2.4428 (11)	O2-C2	1.145 (4)
C2-Ru1-C1	88.99 (16)	S1-Ru1-Ru1 <sup>i</sup>	56.720 (18)
S2-Ru1-S1	71.98 (4)	O1-C1-Ru1	176.0 (4)
P1-Ru1-Ru1 <sup>i</sup>	149.85 (2)	O2-C2-Ru1	178.2 (4)
S2-Ru1-Ru1 <sup>i</sup>	56.513 (17)		

Symmetry code: (i)  $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z$ .

The H atoms were located in a difference Fourier synthesis but were not refined; their  $U_{iso}$  values were set to 0.08 Å<sup>2</sup>.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Financial support for this work from the National Science Council of the Republic of China (contract No. NSC91-2113-M006-015) is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Angelici, R. J. (1988). Acc. Chem. Res. 21, 387-394.
- Bruker (1997). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1995). SADABS. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shiu, K.-B., Jean, S.-W., Wang, Y., Lee, G.-H. (2002). J. Organomet. Chem. 650, 268-273
- Shiu, K.-B., Wang, S.-L., Liao, F.-L., Chiang, M. Y., Peng, S.-M., Lee, G.-H., Wang, J.-C. & Liou, L.-S. (1998). Organometallics, 17, 1790-1797.
- Stiefel, E. I. (1996). Transition Metal Sulfide Chemistry. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society
- Wiegand, B. C. & Friend, C. M. (1992). Chem. Rev. 92, 491-504.